Pd and Pd-Au nanocatalysts supported on exfoliated graphite for high throughput dehalogenation by nanocomposite membranes
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Abstract

Exfoliated graphite nanoplatelets (xGnPs) are proposed as a support material in the design of hierarchical Pd-based nanocatalysts for reductive dehalogenation. xGnP-supported metallic (Pd) and bimetallic (Pd-Au) catalysts were synthesized and evaluated in experiments on dehalogenation of trichloroethylene (TCE) in batch and membrane reactors. The TCE removal of 96% was achieved with Pd-Au/xGnP-filled membranes operated at the specific permeate flux of 47.4 L/(m²∙h∙bar). Normalized reactive fluxes in flow-through dehalogenation by membranes with embedded Pd-Au/xGnP and Pd/xGnP catalysts were 14.71 ± 5.96 and 2.56 ± 1.79 (m/s)(MH₂)⁻¹(gPd/ gPSf)⁻¹, respectively. These values were ~80 and ~14 times higher than the normalized reactive flux obtained using membranes with embedded commercial Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst. To our knowledge, this is the first report on Pd and Pd-Au catalysts on a graphene-type support for hydrodechlorination and the first demonstration of high throughput TCE dechlorination in a membrane reactor. Determined for batch reactions, the second order reaction rate constants for Pd-Au/xGnP and Pd/xGnP catalysts were 26,309 ± 6,555 and 9,975 ± 9,506 (MH₂∙s)⁻¹ (gPd/L)⁻¹. These values were ~81 and ~31 times higher than the rate constant obtained for the commercial Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst.
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1. Introduction

Palladium-based catalysis has emerged as a promising approach to the reactive treatment of recalcitrant water pollutants such as halogenated organics \(^1,^2\). However, several technical challenges including low catalyst activity and fouling hinder field-scale applications of this technology. Recent developments in nanocatalyst design for environmental applications have shown that catalyst support is important for highly selective and efficient reactions. Graphene-supported Pd can be an excellent catalyst choice due to its good stabilities in both alkaline and acid conditions and graphene’s unique electronic properties where electron shuttling between the support and the metal could improve reactivity \(^3-^6\). Other properties of graphene that are purported to explain its very good properties as a catalyst support include accessibility of reactants to active centers due to the graphene’s \(2D\) morphology \(^7\) as well as various specific interactions between reactants and graphene’s surface \(^3,^8\). Graphene as a support has been shown to increase catalytic activity of Pd in the Suzuki reaction \(^9\). In the electrooxidation of formic acid and ethanol, graphene-supported Pd had much higher catalytic activity and better stability than commercial Pd/carbon catalysts \(^10\). In the dehydrogenation and hydrolysis of ammonium borane, graphene-supported Pd was also shown to be more active and stable than commercial counterparts \(^11\). Adsorption of the reactants to the carbon surface may also be contributing to increased reactivity \(^12\).

Stacked sheets of reduced graphene, exfoliated graphite nanoplatelets (xGnP) have surface properties very similar to those of graphene, offer high surface area (up to \(750 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}\)), and are more affordable with the expected cost on the order of \$5/pound \(^13,^14\). Ranging from 2 to 12 nm in thickness and several microns in diameter, xGnPs can be viewed as pseudo two-dimensional nanoplatelets offering functionality of graphene at a lower cost. In contrast to activated carbon, xGnPs are not microporous; catalytic nanoparticles can be anchored on the xGnP’s basal plane
making them readily available to reactants.  xGnPs have been used as catalyst support in the past: Lu et al. reported on the synthesis and application of Pt and Pd nanoparticles on xGnPs for catalytic redox reactions involving H₂O₂ ¹⁵ while Maiyalagan et al. ¹⁶ used chemically modified xGnPs as support for Pd and Pd-Au catalysts in formic acid oxidation. We used xGnPs modified by nanoAu as components of membrane casting mixtures and demonstrated that resulting porous asymmetric nanocomposites were permselective and catalytically active ultrafiltration membranes ¹⁷.

Table 1: Literature data on the reactivity of various Pd-based catalysts in batch dehalogenation of TCE with H₂ as the reducer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Promoter</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} \frac{1}{\text{min} \cdot \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{L}}} )</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Nutt et al., 2006 ¹⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-Au</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>433 (^a) and 1956 (^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>Nutt et al., 2005 ¹⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMC(^c)-capped Pd</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>Liu et al., 2008 ²⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio Pd</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.0·10⁻⁴ ± 3.3·10⁻⁶ (^d)</td>
<td>De Corte et al., 2011 ²¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio Pd-Au</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>1.3·10⁻³ ± 1.6·10⁻⁴ (^d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optimizing the materials design of catalysts can prevent catalyst poisoning and improve reaction rates. In recent studies, bi- and tri-metallic catalysts for reductive reactions were synthesized and their reactivities were measured ¹⁸, ¹⁹, ²²-²⁶. Table 1 lists Pd-based metallic and bimetallic catalysts that were evaluated in the reductive dechlorination of TCE with dissolved hydrogen as the reducer. All these studies employed 1\(^{st}\) order reaction kinetics to describe TCE dehalogenation. Bimetallic Pd-on-Au nanocatalysts showed improved catalytic efficiency due to the Au promoter and were optimized for TCE dechlorination ¹⁹. It was later demonstrated that the
extent of coverage of Au nanoparticles by Pd regulated the efficiency of catalytic reduction of TCE and that Au eliminated sulfide poisoning of the catalyst\textsuperscript{18}. Compared to Pd catalysts supported on Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, the Pd-on-Au catalysts with optimized Pd coverage increased the first order rate constant from 47 min\textsuperscript{-1} (g\textsubscript{Pd}/L\textsuperscript{-1}) to 1956 min\textsuperscript{-1} (g\textsubscript{Pd}/L\textsuperscript{-1}) \textsuperscript{18}. Bimetallic Pd-Fe systems can also be used to catalytically reduce chlorinated organics wherein hydrogen is generated in situ \textsuperscript{25, 27-29}.

Another study successfully applied Langmuir-Hinshelwood model to quantify TCE reaction kinetics \textsuperscript{30}; although more appropriate than simpler models, Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetics could not be applied in our case as the model relies on several assumptions (e.g. constant TCE concentration) that did not hold in the present study.

![Conceptual illustration of a hierarchical nanocatalyst based on bimetallic (Pd-Au) as catalytic nanoparticles and exfoliated graphite nanoplatelets (xGnP) as catalyst support. The Pd-Au nanoparticles have core-shell morphology with Au and Pd forming the core and the shell, respectively.](image)

**Figure 1:** Conceptual illustration of a hierarchical nanocatalyst based on bimetallic (Pd-Au) as catalytic nanoparticles and exfoliated graphite nanoplatelets (xGnP) as catalyst support. The Pd-Au nanoparticles have core-shell morphology with Au and Pd forming the core and the shell, respectively.

Using membrane reactors for catalysis can be advantageous in several aspects. First, in catalytic membranes the diffusional limitation is mitigated due to the small size of pores and the rate of mass transfer of pollutants to the catalyst surface can be regulated by the rate of permeation \textsuperscript{31}. A rough estimate shows that the typical size of ultrafiltration membrane pores (2 to 100 nm) is much smaller than the diffusional
distance, $\delta_{diff}$, for TCE ($D = 8.16 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ at $25^\circ \text{C}$) or a similar molecule over the typical detention time in a dense sublayer of an ultrafilter ($t_{det} \approx 0.2$ to 5 s): $\delta_{diff} \approx \sqrt{Dt_{det}} = 5$ to 23 $\mu$m. In this regard, membrane reactors hold an advantage over packed bed reactors with pores that are several orders of magnitude larger than pores in an ultrafiltration membrane. Second, reactive membranes obviate the need to recover the catalyst. Third, membrane reactors may eliminate catalyst poisoning or fouling by rejecting foulants at the feed-membrane interface. Immobilizing catalysts on various supports prior to incorporating them into membranes enables better catalyst dispersion throughout the membrane and may minimize catalyst loss to the permeate flow.

This paper reports on the preparation of two novel Pd-based catalysts on an exfoliated graphite support for TCE hydrodechlorination (Figure 1). We have fabricated Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP catalysts and compared them against the commercial Pd/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst in a batch reactor and as components of polymer nanocomposite membranes in a membrane reactor. To our knowledge, this is the first report on Pd and Pd-Au catalysts on a graphene-type support for hydrodechlorination and the first demonstration of TCE dechlorination in a flow-through membrane reactor.
2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Reagents

Ethylene glycol (EG) (Fluka), sodium hydroxide pellets (Fluka), 20 wt% aqueous solution of poly(diallyldimethylammonium) chloride (PDADMAC) (Sigma Aldrich), TCE (Sigma Aldrich), and Pd on alumina (5wt% Pd) (Sigma Aldrich), palladium chloride (PdCl₂) (Sigma Aldrich) and gold (III) chloride trihydrate (HAuCl₃(H₂O)₃) (Sigma Aldrich) were used as precursors in Pd and Au nanoparticle synthesis. Hydrogen (99.9% purity) and nitrogen (99.99% purity) gases were used to saturate TCE feed solutions.

2.2. Synthesis of Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP nanocatalysts and nanocomposite membranes

All chemicals were used as received. Exfoliated graphite nanoplatelets (grade M; XG Sciences) were used as a support for Pd and Pd-Au nanoparticles. The nanoplatelets were ~ 7 nm thick with the average diameter of 5 µm and surface area in the 120 to 150 m²/g range. Pd and Pd-Au nanoparticles on the xGnP support were fabricated by thermal reduction using polyol synthesis with ethylene glycol (EG) as a reducing agent.

2.2.1 Au/xGnP fabrication process

To make Au/xGnP, 50 mg of xGnP was added to a mixture containing 50 mL of EG and 1 mL of a 20 wt% aqueous solution of polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride and dispersed in a sonication bath for 12 h. Next, 150 µL of 1 M NaOH and 50 µL of 500 mM HAuCl₄ were added to the xGnP suspension. NaOH was used to adjust the pH, which has been shown to control the size and morphology of the resulting Au nanoparticles. The suspension was mixed and heated to maintain its temperature at 195 °C (near the boiling point of EG, 197 °C) for 30 min. Finally, Au/xGnP
nanoparticles were removed from EG by centrifugation, washed with acetone 3 times, and allowed to dry overnight in an oven at 100 ℃.

2.2.2 Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP fabrication process

To make Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP, 50 mg of neat xGnP (or Au/xGnP) was dispersed in 18 mL of EG in a sonication bath (Aquasonic 50T, VWR Scientific) for 12 h. Next, 2 mL of 22.5 mM Pd precursor solution was added to 18 mL of the xGnP suspension in EG and stirred for 2 min. To reduce the precursor to Pd nanoparticles on the xGnP (or Au/xGnP) surface, the stirred suspension was microwaved for 50 s (900 W, 2450 MHz). Finally, Pd/xGnP (or Pd-Au/xGnP) nanoparticles were removed from EG by centrifugation, washed with acetone 3 times, and allowed to dry overnight in an oven at 100 ℃.

2.2.3 Characterization of Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP catalysts by TEM, S-TEM, and S-TEM EDS

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging and scanning-TEM (S-TEM) energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping were performed using a JOEL 2200FS microscope. Nanoparticle specimens were prepared by dispersing nanoparticles in acetone (~0.01 wt%). The nanoparticles were dispersed by bath sonication and a drop of nanoparticle suspension was placed on a 300-mesh nickel or copper grid. The grid dried for 24 h at 90 ℃ prior to TEM imaging and S-TEM EDS mapping. The Pd and Au contents were quantified using an atomic absorption (AA) analyzer (Perkin–Elmer 1100).

2.3 Preparation of nanocomposite membranes filled with Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP catalysts

The procedure for casting polysulfone nanocomposite membranes filled with Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP was similar to the one described previously [17]. Briefly, membranes were prepared using a combination of wet and dry phase inversion.
The relative concentrations of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (70 wt%), polysulfone (15 wt%),
and PEG400 (15 wt%) of the casting mixture were the same for all membranes. The
loading of Pd/xGnP or Pd-Au/xGnP in the membrane was 2 wt% of the PSf content
while the loading of Pd/Al₂O₃ was 10 wt% of the PSf content.

The membrane preparation procedure included the following six steps:

1) Supported nanocatalysts were dispersed in NMP and sonicated for 2 h in a
   bath sonicator (model 50T, VWR Aquasonic).
2) PSf and PEG400 were added to the dispersion of xGnP-supported catalyst in
   NMP and the resulting mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 24 h.
3) The resulting mixture was cooled to room temperature and then cast onto a
   glass substrate using a film applicator (model 3570, Elcometer).
4) The cast film was exposed to air to allow NMP to evaporate for 30 s and then
   immersed into a water bath at room temperature.
5) After phase inversion was complete (as manifest by the separation of the cast
   film from the glass substrate) residual NMP was removed from the membrane
   by rinsing it with DI water for 5 min. The membrane was then soaked in DI
   water for 24 h to ensure complete removal of NMP.

After soaking, the water was exchanged and the membrane was stored wet at 4 °C
until further use.

2.4. TCE dechlorination experiments

Before dechlorination experiments, controls were run to ensure that hydrogen would
not leak from the batch reactor vessel and flow-through reactor feed vessel. This
was done by monitoring the hydrogen concentration with for 6 h in both reactor
vessels and no measureable loss of dissolved hydrogen was detected.
2.4.1 TCE dechlorination experiments: batch reactor tests

Nanocatalyst reactivity for TCE dechlorination was first characterized in zero-headspace batch reactor tests. Serum vials were completely filled with 108 mL of high purity water (> 10 MΩ·cm⁻¹), and the water was purged of dissolved oxygen by bubbling nitrogen gas (99.99% purity) through the water with a ceramic frit for 15 min. After removing dissolved oxygen, 1.25 mg of either Pd/xGnP or Pd-Au/xGnP nanoparticles, or 64 mg of Pd/Al₂O₃ were added to the vial. The different catalyst concentration of Pd/Al₂O₃ was chosen in order to enable measurements of TCE concentration by gas chromatography. The solution in the vial was saturated (0.8 mM) with H₂ gas (99.9% purity) for 15 min at room temperature under atmospheric pressure and sealed with a Teflon septum and crimp cap. Injecting 1 mL of 1000 mg/L stirred aqueous solution of TCE started the reaction. The batch reactor was magnetically stirred. Each sample withdrawn from the reactor was passed through a 0.22 μm syringe filter to remove the catalyst and terminate the reaction. The extent of TCE reduction was measured by gas chromatography with an electron capture detector (see Supplementary Material (SM), section S1, for details). Control experiments were conducted without H₂ and it was shown that there was no observable adsorption of TCE on xGnP (see SM, Figure S1). The reactive loss of TCE should be only to the reaction within the intrapore space of the membrane. That is because the loose ultrafiltration membranes (MWCO of ~ 90 kDa) used in this work should not reject TCE and there should be no TCE concentration boundary layer at the feed face of the reactive membrane surface. The concentration of dissolved H₂ was determined using an H₂ electrode microsensor and a picoampere-range amplifier (Unisense H₂-NP).

2.4.2 TCE dechlorination experiments: flow-through tests with a membrane reactor

Flow-through dechlorination experiments were conducted using a dead-end filtration system (Figure 2) that included a stirred filtration cell (model 8050, EMD Millipore),
stirring plate, mass balance, stainless steel pressure vessel, and hermetic plastic bladder (High Sierra). An H₂ sensor and signal amplifier were used for H₂ monitoring. To avoid exposure of the H₂-saturated feed solution to the atmosphere and to the inner surface of the pressure vessel, the solution was poured into a hermetic bladder, which was then placed inside the pressure vessel and pressurized while still hermetically sealed. Testing of the bladder for H₂ leakage showed no loss of H₂ and over a period of 6 h.

Figure 2: Schematic of the dead-end filtration system used in TCE dechlorination experiments.

Prior to measuring membrane reactivity, membrane adsorption capacity was exhausted by filtering a 9.25 mg/L TCE solution in the absence of reaction. Then, the reactivity was determined in ultrafiltration of a 9.25 mg(TCE)/L feed solution saturated with hydrogen. A survey of literature showed that TCE was typically found in groundwater at concentrations of mg/L \(^3\); the specific value (9.25 mg/L) was chosen on the basis of the “trial-and-error” preliminary screening study. The determination of catalytic activity included the following steps:

1) 1 L of DI water was added to a plastic bladder (High Sierra) and purged with N₂(g) for 15 min.
2) 10 mL of 1000 mg/L TCE solution was added to N₂-purged water in the bladder, all headspace was removed, and the bladder was sealed.

3) The bladder was placed in a 5 L stainless steel pressure vessel (Alloy Products); the vessel was pressurized and the TCE solution was filtered through the membrane in four steps with a different transmembrane pressure at each step: 40, 30, 20, and 10 psi. The details of how the reaction rate constant for the membrane reactor can be calculated based on the results of tests with different transmembrane pressures can be found elsewhere ¹⁷.

4) Step 3 was repeated, except that the N₂-purged water was saturated with hydrogen prior to the addition of the TCE solution, and the aqueous hydrogen concentration of the permeate was monitored using an H₂ electrode microsensor and a picoampere-range amplifier. The permeate was collected in glass vials that were then sealed with Teflon lined caps.

5) TCE concentration in permeate samples was determined using gas chromatography.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP nanocatalysts

We evaluated several approaches to the preparation of xGnP-supported Pd and Pd-Au nanocatalysts. The most active bimetallic catalyst resulted from a hybrid procedure that combined features of two previously reported techniques \(^{17,35}\), the procedure included two steps: 1) decoration of the xGnP support by Au nanoparticles using thermal reduction in an oil bath, followed by 2) deposition of Pd via microwave-assisted thermal reduction. TEM images of catalyst-modified xGnP show that most (~87%) Pd nanoparticles were in 5 nm to 10 nm size range and that most (~85%) Pd-Au nanoparticles were in 10 nm to 30 nm size range (Figure 1). Both metallic and bimetallic nanoparticles were distributed over xGnPs with minimal surface aggregation (see SM, section S7, for size distribution details). EDS showed that both Pd and Au were present on the xGnP support with relative atomic concentrations of 60% and 40%, respectively, and AA spectroscopy corroborated the EDS data (see SM, Figure S2, Table S1). S-TEM EDS mapping was employed to further probe the morphology of the Pd-Au nanoparticles anchored on xGnP supports and elucidate the relative distribution of the two metals in the catalyst particles. The mapping pointed to the “core-shell” morphology with Au forming the core and Pd forming the shell, where the relative strength of the Pd signal is weaker in the center and stronger on the periphery while the Au signal is weaker on the periphery and stronger in the center of the particle (Figure 3). This morphology is expected based on the sequence of steps in the catalyst preparation procedure (i.e. formation of Au nanoparticles followed by Pd deposition). Because of the semi-quantitative nature of S-TEM EDS technique, it was not possible to determine what shell and core thicknesses were and whether the shell was a continuous layer of Pd or “islands” of Pd decorating the Au core.
Figure 3: SEM (a), TEM (b, c) and S-TEM (d, e) images of the catalysts: (A) commercially available Pd/Al$_2$O$_3$ (Sigma-Aldrich); (B) newly synthesized Pd/xGnP; (C, D, E) newly synthesized Pd-Au/xGnP. The mapped distributions of Pd and Au (D, E) are representative of all Pd-Au/xGnP particles probed by S-TEM EDS.
In our previous work on Au/xGnP catalysts embedded within membranes of the same formulation, we showed that the catalyst is homogeneously distribution within polymeric UF membrane matrix. Because the catalysts are morphologically indistinguishable, Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP should also be distributed homogeneously.

3.2. Kinetics of catalytic dehalogenation of TCE in batch reactors

The catalytic reactivity of Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP was first characterized in experiments on the reduction of TCE in a batch reactor. The concentration of H₂ was found to decrease according to 1st order kinetics (see SM, Figure S5):

\[
[H_2] = [H_2]_0 \exp(-\chi^{batch}t)
\]

where \(\chi^{batch}\) (s⁻¹) is the reaction rate constant. For example, the average value of \(\chi^{batch}\) in tests with Pd-Au/xGnP catalyst was \(3.43 \cdot 10^{-3}\) s⁻¹. Because of the decomposition of H₂, the TCE reaction data fit a 2nd order model (see SM, Figure S3) better than 1st order and 2nd order reaction rate constants were extracted for Pd/Au, Pd/xGnP, and Pd/Al₂O₃ catalysts. We note that in all prior studies TCE dechlorination was modeled as a 1st order reaction¹⁸-²¹ (Table 1) making direct comparison with our data on 2nd order kinetics not possible. The batch process was modeled as a 2nd order reaction in an ideal reactor:

\[
\frac{dTCE}{dt} = -k^{batch}_{obs} [TCE][H_2]
\]

Integration of (1) and (2a) gives:

\[
\ln\left(\frac{TCE}{TCE_0}\right) = -k^{batch}_{obs} \frac{[H_2]_0}{\chi^{batch}} \left\{1 - \exp(-\chi^{batch}t)\right\}
\]

The normalized observed TCE reaction rate constant in batch dehalogenation, \(k^{batch}_{obs}\) ((M⁻¹ H₂⁻¹ s⁻¹ g⁻¹ Pd L⁻¹)), was computed by dividing \(k^{batch}_{obs}\) ((M⁻¹ H₂⁻¹ s⁻¹)) by Pd content in the reactor. To our knowledge, the present study is the first description of catalytic hydrodechlorination of TCE as a 2nd order reaction.
Figure 4: TCE dehalogenation performance of xGnP-supported Pd and Pd-Au catalysts and the commercial Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst in batch reactions:
A) Example data on TCE reduction. Pd content in the batch reactors is shown in the legend.
B) 2nd order reaction rate constants normalized by the Pd content in the batch reactor for the three catalysts. The errors correspond to the 95% confidence interval.
We emphasize that the choice of the 2nd order kinetics to describe the reaction is based on the experimental fact that the concentration of the reducing agent is decreasing ($k_{batch} \neq 0$, Figure S5) and, generally, cannot be assumed constant.

Small differences in the Pd content in tests with Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP (0.69 mg(Pd)/L vs 0.81 mg(Pd)/L) were due to differences in the Pd content of these two catalyst types. The same mass (1.25 mg, see section 2.4.1) of each supported catalyst was added to batch reactors but the contents of Pd in Pd/xGnP and in Pd/xGnP were slightly different.

We extracted catalytic reaction rate constants for Pd-Au/xGnP, Pd/xGnP, and Pd/Al₂O₃ from the nearly 15 min of reaction time (Figure 4A). More than 90% of TCE was reduced within 15 min of the reaction catalyzed by Pd-Au/xGnP. In contrast, in tests with Pd/xGnP the TCE reduction plateaued near 80% and in tests with Pd/Al₂O₃ TCE reduction was even less complete. Figure 4B summarizes data on the reactivity of the two novel xGnP-supported catalysts and of the commercial Al₂O₃-supported Pd in a batch reactor. The 2nd order reaction rate constants for Pd/xGnP ($9,975 \pm 9,506 \text{ (M}_\text{H}_2\text{S})^{-1}\text{(gPd/L)}^{-1}$) and Pd-Au/xGnP ($26,309 \pm 6,555 \text{ (M}_\text{H}_2\text{S})^{-1}\text{(gPd/L)}^{-1}$), were ~ 31 times and ~ 81 times higher than that for the commercial Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst ($321 \pm 77 \text{ (M}_\text{H}_2\text{S})^{-1}\text{(gPd/L)}^{-1}$).

While by-products of the reaction were not monitored in this study, byproduct analysis is important for ensuring the reaction is complete and no toxic by-products (e.g. dichloroethenes and vinyl chloride) remain. Such information might also provide additional mechanistic insights into reaction pathways although the effect of byproducts is likely mitigated by the fact that the reduction of TCE to DCE is the limiting reaction in the overall catalytic hydrodechlorination process. ³⁰
3.2.1. Dehalogenation kinetics in the batch reaction with a near-constant concentration of the reducing agent.

Because of practical limits on the sampling time (i.e. finite time needed for sample withdrawal and filtration, H$_2$ measurement, and sample transfer to gas chromatography vials for TCE measurement), we were unable to record TCE concentration during the earliest stages (< 2 min) of the reaction. Yet for highly active catalysts such as Pd-Au/xGnP, TCE concentration decreased dramatically (~ 90%) over the first 2 min. To quantify reaction kinetics when TCE reduction was < 90%, we performed additional batch tests with a 5 times lower catalyst content. The experiments showed (see SM, section S4) that for low levels of TCE reduction, a 1$^{st}$ order model provided a good fit. This was because sufficiently early into the experiment ($\kappa_{batch}t \ll 1$), the 2$^{nd}$ order reaction given by eq. 1a reduced to a 1$^{st}$ order reaction:

$$\frac{d}{dt}[TCE] = -k_{obs} [TCE] [H_2]_0 \exp(-\kappa_{batch} t) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{batch}t \to 0} k_{1obs}^{batch} [TCE]$$

where $k_{1obs}^{batch}$ is the observed 1$^{st}$ order rate constant in the batch reactor. We note that for reactions where the reducing agent (H$_2$ in our case) is reacting away sufficiently slowly, the 1$^{st}$ order kinetics can apply even late into the reaction:

$$\lim_{\kappa_{batch}t \to 0} \frac{1 - \exp(-\kappa_{batch} t)}{\kappa_{batch} t} = t$$

and

$$\ln\left[\frac{[TCE]}{[TCE_0]}\right] = -k_{obs}^{batch} \frac{[H_2]_0}{\kappa_{batch}} \left(1 - \exp(-\kappa_{batch} t)\right) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{batch}t \to 0} -k_{1obs}^{batch} t$$

We extracted both 1$^{st}$ and 2$^{nd}$ order rate constants for batch reactors, and these constants, when normalized by Pd content, were not statistically different from respective rate constants measured in batch reactions with higher content of Pd-Au/xGnP. Specifically, for high and low Pd-Au/xGnP contents the 1$^{st}$ order rate constants, $\tilde{k}_{batch}^{1obs}$, were 311 ± 120 and 559 ± 121 L/(min·g$_{Pd}$)$^{-1}$, respectively, and the
2nd order rate constants, $k_{obs}^{batch}$, were 26,309 ± 6,555 and 18,255 ± 9,008 (M_{H2}·s)^{-1}(g_{Pd}/L)^{-1}, respectively.

Although the 1st order batch reaction model gives an inferior fit to experimental results (i.e. inferior to the fit provided by the 2nd order batch reaction model described by eq. (3)), we applied it to our batch TCE dehalogenation data in order to compare the Pd-Au/xGnP and Pd/xGnP catalytic reactivity with reaction rate constants reported in the literature (Table 1). The 1st order reaction rate constants for Pd-Au/xGnP and Pd/xGnP catalysts (311 and 140 L/(min·g_{Pd})^{-1}, respectively) are within an order of magnitude of the highest reaction rate constants reported earlier^{18} even with no optimization of the Pd:Au surface coverage. We also note that because of their hydrophobicity, xGnPs could not be fully dispersed in the aqueous solution of TCE; it is likely that the efficiency of the reaction can be significantly enhanced by a better dispersion of the xGnP-supported catalysts.

3.3. Kinetics of catalytic dehalogenation of TCE in flow-through membrane reactors

The membrane-based dehalogenation of TCE by composite membranes was modeled as a 2nd order reaction in an ideal plug-flow reactor at steady-state:

$$0 = -v \frac{d[TCE]}{dx} - k_{obs}^{mem}[TCE][H_2]$$

where $v$ (m/s) is the superficial velocity (i.e. permeate flux). As in batch tests, H$_2$ was found to react away according to a 1st order reaction in a plug flow reactor (see SM, Figure S4) so that H$_2$ decomposition was modeled as:

$$[H_2] = [H_2]_0 \exp(-\chi^{mem} \ell_{eff} / v)$$

where $\chi^{mem} \ell_{eff}$ (m/s) is the reactive flux of H$_2$ in the membrane. Integration of (5a) and (6) gives:

$$\ln \frac{[TCE]}{[TCE]_0} = -k_{obs}^{mem} \ell_{eff} \frac{[H_2]_0}{\chi^{mem} \ell_{eff}} \{1 - \exp(-\chi^{mem} \ell_{eff} / v)\}$$

(7a)
Figure 5: TCE dehalogenation performance of xGnP-supported Pd and Pd-Au catalysts and the commercial Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst in flow-through membrane reactors:

A) Example data on TCE reduction in membrane filtration tests. Pd loading in nanocomposite membranes is shown in the legend. The four points in each data set correspond to transmembrane pressure values of 10, 20, 30, and 40 psi.

B) Normalized reactive fluxes in membrane dehalogenation by nanocomposite membranes with embedded catalysts. The errors correspond to the 95% confidence interval.
where $\ell_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective length of the reactor and $k_{\text{obs}}^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}} ((\text{m/s})(\text{MH}_2)^{-1})$ is the reactive flux of TCE in the membrane.

The reactive fluxes $k_{\text{obs}}^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}}$ and $\chi^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}}$ describe the degrees to which TCE and H$_2$ react away in the membrane. Average values of $\chi^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}}$ in tests with Pd/Al$_2$O$_3$, Pd/xGnP, and Pd-Au/xGnP catalysts were determined to be 4.95, 4.10, and 7.26 µm/s, respectively.

In most membranes suitable for practical separations, the length of the reactor, $\ell_{\text{eff}}$, is not known. This is in part because of the complex morphology of the pore space (pore tortuosity and connectivity) and in part because most membranes are asymmetric. Thus, the detention time in the membrane reactor, $t_{\text{eff}} (\text{s})$ is not known and computing the exact reaction rate constant $k_{\text{obs}}^{\text{mem}}$ is not possible. For this reason, we quantify reactivity within the membrane reactor in terms of the reactive flux $^{17}$.

The normalized TCE reactive flux in membrane-based dehalogenation, $\hat{k}_{\text{obs}}^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}} ((\text{m/s})(\text{MH}_2)^{-1} (\text{gPd}/ \text{gPSf})^{-1})$, was computed by normalizing the measured reactive flux, $k_{\text{obs}}^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}} ((\text{m/s})(\text{MH}_2)^{-1})$, by the mass loading of Pd in the polysulfone nanocomposite membrane (gPd/gPSf). The H$_2$ reaction rate constants $\chi^{\text{mem}}$ and $\chi^{\text{batch}}$ are generally different; thus $\chi^{\text{batch}}$ and $\chi^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}}$ were determined separately.

The reactive fluxes for membranes with embedded Pd/xGnP (2.56 ± 1.79 (m/s)(M$_{\text{H}_2})^{-1} (\text{gPd}/ \text{gPSf})^{-1})$ and Pd-Au/xGnP (14.71 ± 5.96 (m/s)(M$_{\text{H}_2})^{-1} (\text{gPd}/ \text{gPSf})^{-1})$ catalysts, were ~ 14 times and ~ 80 times higher than those for the membranes with commercial Pd/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst (0.18 ± 0.08 (m/s)(M$_{\text{H}_2})^{-1} (\text{gPd}/ \text{gPSf})^{-1})$ (Figure 5).
3.3.1. Dehalogenation kinetics in a membrane reactor with a near-constant concentration of the reducing agent.

Under conditions of relatively slow decomposition of the reducing agent \(-\chi^{\text{mem}} t \ll 1\) eq. 5a simplifies to the plug-flow model with the 1\textsuperscript{st} order reaction:

\[
0 = -v \frac{d[TCE]}{dx} - k^{\text{mem}}_{\text{obs}} [TCE][H_2]_0 \exp(-\chi^{\text{mem}} t)
\]

where \(k^{\text{mem}}_{\text{obs}}\) is the observed 1\textsuperscript{st} order rate constant in the membrane reactor. We note that for reactions where the reducing agent is reacting away sufficiently slowly \((\chi^{\text{mem}} \to 0)\), the 1\textsuperscript{st} order kinetics can apply even when permeation is slow (i.e. small \(v\)) and the residence time \((\ell_{\text{eff}}/v)\) in the membrane is high:

\[
\lim_{\chi^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}} \to 0} 1 - \frac{\exp\left(-\chi^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}}/v\right)}{\chi^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{1}{v}
\]

and

\[
\ln \frac{[TCE]}{[TCE]_0} = -k^{\text{mem}}_{\text{obs}} \ell_{\text{eff}} \frac{[H_2]_0}{\chi^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}}} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\chi^{\text{mem}} \ell_{\text{eff}}/v\right)\right]
\]

Practically, high permeate fluxes are needed, which requires fast kinetics of dehalogenation to reduce the concentration of a target pollutant even at a low residence time. Faster reduction reactions translate into faster consumption of the reducer. (i.e. larger \(\chi^{\text{mem}}\)) Under these conditions, approximations (5b) and (7b) do not hold and 2\textsuperscript{nd} order kinetics applies.

3.4. Resolving conflicting demands of throughput and reactivity within a membrane reactor

Although in the general case the plug-flow with 1\textsuperscript{st} order reaction model given by eq.
(7b) \(^{17}\) fits experimental results worse (see SM, section S3) than the plug flow with 2\(^{nd}\) order reaction model described by eq. (7a), we applied the 1\(^{st}\) order model to our membrane-based TCE dehalogenation data to elucidate the competition between mass transfer and reactivity within the membrane reactor (Table 2). The 1\(^{st}\) order model is useful for a simple estimation of how effective different embedded catalysts are at different permeate fluxes: the 1\(^{st}\) order reactive flux can be interpreted as the permeate flux for which the concentration of TCE is reduced in the membrane reactor by the factor of \(e \approx 2.72\).

At the transmembrane pressure of 0.69 bar, the average permeate flux through membranes with embedded Pd/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) was 15.5 L/(m\(^2\)*h), while the reactive flux was only 15.5 L/(m\(^2\)*h) leading to incomplete TCE reduction (Figure 5A). In contrast, at the same transmembrane pressure, the average permeate flux through the membranes with embedded Pd-Au/xGnP was 26.4 L/(m\(^2\)*h), and the reactive flux was 45.0 L/(m\(^2\)*h). Thus, using the Pd-Au/xGnP catalyst makes the membrane reaction feasible at permeate fluxes in the ultrafiltration range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Embedded catalyst</th>
<th>TCE reactive flux for 1(^{st}) order reaction model, (k_{1 \text{obs}}^{\text{mem}} \cdot t_{eff}), (\mu\text{m/s (L*m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}))</th>
<th>Average volumetric permeate flux at (\Delta P = 2.76) bar, (\mu\text{m/s (L}^{-}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}))</th>
<th>Average volumetric permeate flux at (\Delta P = 0.69) bar, (\mu\text{m/s (L}^{-}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>1.8 (6.5)</td>
<td>24.9 (89.7)</td>
<td>4.3 (15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/xGnP</td>
<td>5.0 (18.0)</td>
<td>64.5 (232.2)</td>
<td>6.4 (23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd-Au/xGnP</td>
<td>12.5 (45.0)</td>
<td>35.0 (125.9)</td>
<td>7.4 (26.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparison of batch and membrane-based reaction data (Figure 4B and Figure 5B), shows that the relative reactivity of Pd/xGnP catalyst with respect to Pd/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) decreased while for the Pd-Au/xGnP the \(\sim 80\) fold advantage was maintained after
catalyst incorporation into membranes. The decrease might be due to catalyst occlusion by the surrounding polysulfone matrix of the nanocomposite membrane\textsuperscript{17}. The occlusion effect can be mitigated by using a more permeable membrane\textsuperscript{17} or by selecting a cage-type catalyst support\textsuperscript{36, 37} that limits or eliminates polymer access to the catalyst. It appears that the improvement in reactivity due to the addition of the promoter metal (Pd/xGnP vs Pd-Au/xGnP, Figures 4B and 5B) is sufficient to overcome the occlusion effect. Remarkably, both Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP achieved >96% reduction of TCE while operating at 32 L/(m\textsuperscript{2}·h) and 14 L/(m\textsuperscript{2}·h) respectively, compared to Pd/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} achieving only 80% reduction while operating at 16 L/(m\textsuperscript{2}·h) of TCE.

We conclude that the high reactivity of the Pd/xGnP and especially Pd-Au/xGnP catalysts enables the application of reactive membranes for TCE dechlorination by allowing for sufficient reaction time at high permeate fluxes. By normalizing $k_{\text{obs}}^{\text{batch}}$ by Pd content in the batch reactor ($(g_{\text{Pd}}/L)^{-1}$) and normalizing $k_{\text{obs}}^{\text{mem}} \cdot \epsilon_{\text{eff}}$ by Pd loading in the membrane $(g_{\text{Pd}}/g_{\text{PSf}})$ we show that Pd-Au/xGnP and Pd/xGnP are much more cost-efficient than the commercial catalyst Pd/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} in dehalogenating TCE. The reactivity of Pd/xGnP and Pd-Au/xGnP catalysts can be further improved by optimizing particle size (to make better use of Pd surface atoms) and Pd:Au ratio.
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**Figure 1** Conceptual illustration of a hierarchical nanocatalyst based on bimetallic (Pd-Au) as catalytic nanoparticles and exfoliated graphite nanoplatelets (xGnP) as catalyst support.

**Figure 2** Schematic of the dead-end filtration system used in TCE dechlorination experiments.

**Figure 3** SEM (a), TEM (b, c) and S-TEM (d, e) images of the catalysts: (A) commercially available Pd/Al₂O₃ (Sigma-Aldrich); (B) Pd/xGnP; (C, D, E) newly synthesized Pd-Au/xGnP. The mapped distributions of Pd and Au (D, E) are representative of all Pd-Au/xGnP particles probed by S-TEM EDS.

**Figure 4** TCE dehalogenation performance of xGnP-supported Pd and Pd-Au catalysts and the commercial Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst in batch reactions: A) Example data on TCE reduction. Pd content in the batch reactors is shown in the legend. B) 2nd order reaction rate constants normalized by the Pd content in the batch reactor for the three catalysts. The errors correspond to the 95% confidence interval.

**Figure 5** TCE dehalogenation performance of xGnP-supported Pd and Pd-Au catalysts and the commercial Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst in flow-through membrane reactors: A) Example data on TCE reduction in membrane filtration tests. Pd loading in nanocomposite membranes is shown in the legend. B) Normalized reactive fluxes in membrane dehalogenation by nanocomposite membranes with embedded catalysts. The errors correspond to the 95% confidence interval.
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**Table 1** Literature data on the reactivity of various Pd-based catalysts in batch dehalogenation of TCE with H₂ as the reducer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Sodium carboxymethylcellulose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Using a Pd content of 41.1 wt%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Designed with the optimal Pd content of 12.7 wt%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Comparison of 1st order reactive flux and volumetric permeate flux for membranes filled with one of the three catalysts studied: Pd/xGnP, Pd-Au/xGnP, and Pd/Al₂O₃ (baseline).
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