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Abstract—High-density on-chip electrochemical biosensor arrays are advancing toward a crucial role in health monitoring and development of new medicines and medical treatments. Nanopore and ion channel based sensors especially have great potential but present demanding resolution/speed/power/area requirements on instrumentation circuits. This paper presents a pixel-level current readout circuit and new group-cluster architecture to address the circuit challenges in high-performance biosensor arrays. Fabricated in 0.5-µm CMOS, this electrochemical voltammetry circuit achieves 7.2 pA rms noise in an 11.5-kHz bandwidth and only consumes 21-µW power and 0.06 mm² area per readout channel. Cyclic voltammetry experiments in a potassium ferricyanide solution and patch-clamp whole-cell experiments were performed to validate the circuit’s feasibility for electrochemical biosensor applications.
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I. INTRODUCTION

BIOSSENSORS play crucial roles in health monitoring and biomedical research into new forms of treatment and drug discovery. For example, biosensors can diagnose and monitor diseases by detecting biomolecules (disease indicator) such as glucose, DNA and proteins [1]–[5]. Biosensors are also important for development of medicines for chronic pain, hypertension, cancer and epilepsy [6]. Electrochemical techniques are important for biosensor development because electrochemical sensors have unique features such as high sensitivity, selectivity, low power consumption, and suitability for miniaturization [7]. Electrochemical biosensors can detect many hazardous biological targets, including pathogens such as choleratoxin, mycotoxins, hepatitis, bacillus anthracis, rabies virus, and E. Coli [8]–[13].

Ion channel proteins are one class of biosensor interface that are especially important because of their unique role in biology and their great potential as drug targets [6]. Ion channels are typically studied within the lipid bilayer of a living cell, which has a very limited lifetime outside of its natural environment, generally less than 1 hour. In addition, formation of ion-channel-containing membranes in the laboratory has a very low yield, around 30% based on empirical evidence [14]. In contrast, traditional electrochemical studies take a significant amount of time to setup and perform, making it difficult to obtain the quantity of data needed to complete biomedical research. DNA sequencing using electrochemical techniques suffers from this experiment-time vs. information tradeoff as well. New solutions, such as a high-throughput artificial membrane protein array [15] and a nano-patch-clamp integrated system [16], [17] have been proposed to address these issues and permit rapid parallel measurement. These high-throughput on-chip biosensor arrays could also be adapted to antibody/antigen immunosensor development.

Complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) technology for integrated circuits enables the implementation of high performance, low power and low cost circuits within tiny silicon chips. Novel integration technologies enable electrochemical transducers to be integrated monolithically with CMOS circuits on a single chip to decrease system cost and size while reducing noise to improve detection limits [18]. Our group has introduced the lab-on-CMOS platform for high-throughput biosensor arrays as shown in Fig. 1 [15], [19]. Here, a sensor array is formed on the surface of a CMOS instrumentation chip for low-noise and high-density measurement, and a planar carrier package extends the surface area beyond CMOS chip to allow integration a with multi-channel microfluidic component that transports, mixes, etc. biological samples and control solutions. Highly integrated biosensor array platforms like this require a readout circuit with pico-ampere level noise, micro-watt power consumption, ultra-compact size and >10 kHz bandwidth to allow parallel measurement applications [6], [14].

The literature contains numerous examples of CMOS electrochemical current readout circuits for multi-channel measurement [20]–[23], including a recent review [18]. However, these
circuit area and power.

This paper presents a new CMOS instrumentation circuit for electrochemical biosensor arrays with over 100-channels/chip. The circuit achieves pA level noise, micro-watt power consumption per channel, ultra-compact size and over 10 kHz bandwidth. This paper expands on preliminary results in [6] by more thoroughly describing the circuit design and noise analysis and by reporting new results of post-fabrication circuit characterization and electrochemical and biological experiments. Section II discusses instrumentation requirements and compares traditional current readout performance to identify starting points for this work. Section III presents the pixel-level current readout circuit and new group-cluster architecture that addresses key limitations of exiting designs. Section IV describes the circuit block design and implementation, and Section V presents noise analysis to optimize circuit component sizes. Section VI reports the fabricated circuit’s performance along with electrochemical and biological experiment results.

II. INSTRUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS AND ANALYSIS OF CURRENT READOUT OPTIONS

A. Instrumentation Requirements

The critical performance factors in CMOS circuits for biosensor arrays include noise, input current range, bandwidth, power and area. Biosensor response current signal level and bandwidth vary significantly with the type of biomolecules employed. Table I lists reported characteristics for a sub-class of electrochemical biosensors featuring membrane protein or nanopore interfaces which exhibit a combination of resolution and bandwidth needs that are more demanding than most biosensor applications. This work aims to meet these needs while also providing a signal range suitable for most biosensor applications. Thus the readout circuit design requirements were set to a 10 nA bidirectional current range with noise down to 10 pA noise in a 10 kHz bandwidth. In addition to these signal requirements, this work targets applications with high throughput on-chip electrochemical biosensor arrays, which imposes stringent requirements on readout circuit area and power. For example, to implement over 100 channels in a 2.5 mm × 2.5 mm chip area, the circuit area per channel must be less than 0.0625 mm². For on-chip biosensors, the chip can act as a heater and degrade or denature bio-interfaces A heat flux of 80 mW/cm² can cause damage to biological tissue [27]. Thus, for an on-chip array with a circuit area per channel of 0.0625 mm², the power consumption per channel must be less than 50 μW. Furthermore, because of the inherent tradeoff between a circuit’s performance and its area and/or power, the need to simultaneously address these stringent requirements makes readout circuit design even more challenging.

B. Analysis of Current Readout Topologies

To measure pA level currents, the electrochemical readout circuit must have both low noise and large amplification gain. The most common basis for an electrochemical current read-out circuit is a transimpedance amplifier (TIA), which can be implemented as a resistive feedback configuration or a switched-capacitor feedback configuration [26], [28], [29]. However, both of these configurations have drawbacks for high-density biosensor array recording.

To measure pA currents, the resistive feedback TIA may use a large feedback resistor (> 1 GΩ) to achieve high signal-to-noise ratio and high gain, which requires considerable chip area. Although an active feedback structure has been reported to reduce the feedback resistor area for pA level noise, the area was so large that only eight recording channels could be implemented in a 9 mm² area [25], [30]. In addition, feedback resistance mismatch due to process variations generates large gain variation in a multi-channel readout, requiring additional cost for gain calibration.

The switched-capacitor feedback TIA behaves like an integrator and can measure small currents with large gain and pA noise by charging a small feedback capacitance over a long period of time. As a result, the switched-capacitor feedback TIA requires
much less area than the resistive feedback TIA. However, a long integration time necessitates a low signal bandwidth, according to the Nyquist–Shannon sampling theorem. In addition, as a discrete-time solution, the switched capacitor TIA has switching noise and noise alias problems [31], [32] and shows larger noise than the resistive feedback approach at bandwidth over 2 kHz [31]. Furthermore, the switching noise in this circuit injects charge to the sensing electrode and disturbs the electrochemical charge balance at the electrode-electrolyte interface. As a result, low concentration redox reactions cannot be properly observed [26]. Also, as with the resistive TIA, feedback mismatch can add calibration cost.

In contrast to TIAs, the current conveyor topology is an attractive solution for high-density on-chip biosensor arrays because it can achieve very low power and very small area [20]. Current conveyors function like a current buffer, which isolates the electrochemical sensor from following stages. The current conveyor holds the sensing electrode voltage at a constant value and provides an output current that is linearly proportional to the input current. Current conveyors can be as simple as an operational transconductance amplifier (OTA) and one additional transistor, and they do not need large capacitors or resistors [33], making them compact with power consumption down in the micro watt level. In addition, as a continuous time solution, the current conveyor does not inject charge into the electrode-electrolyte interface or affect redox reactions. These features make the current conveyor an attractive solution for high-density on-chip electrochemical biosensor array.

Some current conveyor topologies have been built for electrochemical sensing, but all exhibit limitations for the target applications. The bandwidth of the circuit in [33] is less than 1 Hz. The circuit in [34] shows large noise and needs a high-resolution current-to-digital converter, which occupies a large area and requires additional power. The design in [26] requires additional chopper clocks that take more area and power, and has very low current gain that requires a high-resolution current-to-digital converter occupying extra area and power.

A qualitative performance comparison between the two TIAs and a current conveyor is summarized in Table II. Although each option has some strengths and weaknesses, the current conveyor has unique advantages for the target application and was chosen as the basis for the new topology introduced below.

### III. INSTRUMENTATION ARCHITECTURE DESIGN

A current conveyor topology and a new system architecture was developed to overcome the disadvantages of traditional current conveyors while maintaining the area and power efficiency desired for high-throughput on-chip electrochemical biosensor arrays. The current conveyor design provides accurate gain, good noise performance and sufficient bandwidth with a small transistor count. The proposed group-cluster system architecture provides large current gain with low area and power demands.

#### A. Current Conveyor Topology

The new, compact, current conveyor topology shown in Fig. 2 was designed to address the weaknesses in existing electrochemical current readout circuits. The desired input bias voltage, $V_{WE}$, is set externally. The input sensor current, $I_{in}$, is taken from the working electrode (WE), and the voltage at WE is held to $V_{WE}$ by feedback in OTA1. The output current is defined as $I_{o2}$. A high-precision current mirror was designed to achieve ultra-low current gain variation among different recording channels. With stage one providing a current gain of $A$ and stage two a gain of $B$, the two-stage architecture in Fig. 2 achieves a large current gain of $I_{o2}/I_{in} = AB$.

The OTAs consume very low power because they are connected to transistor gates that do not require any drive current. As a continuous-time solution, this circuit does not inject charge to the electrode-electrolyte interface and thus does not affect redox reactions. Any voltage change at $V_{o1}$ or $V_{o2}$ can change the current mirror gain, thus $V_{o1}$ and $V_{o2}$ must be held at a DC voltage by their respective following stages. Compared to the single-stage current conveyor used in previous work [26], [33], [34], this two-stage topology can effectively boost the current gain. The higher gain allows the ADC to be implemented externally while maintaining good system noise performance, and external ADC placement helps to minimize the local heating of biosensor interfaces in on-chip systems like the Fig. 1 lab-on-CMOS platform.

#### B. Group-Cluster Architecture

Sharing circuit resources in multi-channel recording instrumentation can effectively reduce the circuit area and power consumption. Fig. 3(a) shows an example of a two-channel recording circuit utilizing the current readout in Fig. 2, where
the “feedback” block in each stage of Fig. 3 represents all of the circuitry in the Fig. 2 OTA feedback path, i.e., the transistor, current sources, and current mirror. Because the OTA’s positive terminals are connected to the same voltage, $V_{WE}$, a shared-segment OTA can be used [35]. As shown in Fig. 3(b), the OTAs in each stage can be separated into two segments: a shared segment and unshared pixel segments. The shared segment is connected to a common bias voltage $V_{WE}$ and can be shared by all channels, leaving only a pixel segment needed for each channel.

To further reduce circuit area and power in a multi-channel recording system, a single second stage from Fig. 2, which we will now call a gain stage, can be shared by multiple pixel-stage channel amplifiers using a multiplexer in an interleaved architecture. By extending the shared segment concept to the gain stage amplifiers and combining the shared segment OTA concept and the interleaved two-stage structure, the resulting “group-cluster” architecture shown in Fig. 4 was introduced to achieve high performance with a large number of sensor channels. In Fig. 4, the first stage in Fig. 2 is represented by the pixel amplifier stage and can locally read sensor currents. The second stage in Fig. 2 is represented by the gain amplifier stage in Fig. 4 and provides additional current gain. Each recording channel has a pixel amp, and $M$ pixels form a “cluster”. Each cluster is connected to one of $N$ shared gain amps through an $M:1$ multiplexer, and $N$ gain amps with their associated pixel clusters form a “group”. Thus each group supports $M \times N$ channels with $M \times N$ shared-segment pixel amps and $N$ shared-segment gain amps. A full system can be composed of $Q$ groups, where the gain amps of each group are connected through an $N:1$ multiplexer to $Q$-channel signal processing circuitry for filtering and digitization. Note that the high gain of the two-stage architecture relieves the resolution requirement for digitization, allowing the ADC to be placed externally and reducing power consumption of an array readout chip. This power reduction combined with significant sharing of amplifier hardware resources for recording $M \times N \times Q$ channels will minimize the local heating of biosensor interfaces in on-chip systems like the Fig. 1 lab-on-CMOS platform.

The maxim values of $M$, $N$ and $Q$ are limited by the desired channel sampling rate and the number of external ADCs employed. Assuming $K$ ADCs with a conversion time of $\kappa$, the channel sampling rate can be expressed by $K/(\kappa \times M \times N \times Q)$. Moreover, a large $M$ and $N$ also reduces noise performance by affecting OTA common-mode rejection ratio, as discussed further in Section V.

IV. CIRCUIT BLOCK DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

A. Current Conveyor Feedback Element

The input current range of the circuit depends directly on the magnitude of the current sources in Fig. 2, which is affected by current source mismatch. Defining $\Delta A$ as the deviation between current gain $A$ and the true $I_{11}:I_{12}$ current ratio, and defining $\Delta B$ as the deviation between current gain $B$ and the true $I_{21}:I_{22}$ current ratio, as shown in Fig. 5, we can write:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{o1} &= (A + \Delta A) I_{b1} - A (I_{b1} - I_{in}) = \Delta A I_{b1} + A I_{in} \\
I_{o2} &= B I_{o1} - \Delta B I_{b2} = (B \Delta A I_{b1} - \Delta B I_{b2}) + A B I_{in} \\
&= -(I_{b2} + \Delta A I_{b1})/A < I_{in} < I_{b1}
\end{align*}
\]
The $\Delta A$ and $\Delta B$ deviations can generate a large output current offset and can reduce input current range. Thus, precision current mirrors and the current sources with well-matched transistor sizes are needed to enable small offset and large current range. With good matching techniques, 0.1% mismatch in transistor size can be achieved [36]. Assuming $\Delta A/A = 0.1\%$, and setting $I_b1 = 10 \text{nA}$, and $I_m = 10 \text{nA}$, (1) estimates the $I_1$ offset error due to mismatch is only 0.5% of the input current.

To provide a precise current ratio, a cascoded current mirror structure was used. The schematic of the pixel amplifier is shown in Fig. 6. $M_{mp\{1,2,3\}}$ and $M_{nmp\{1,2,3\}}$ form two current sources, equal to $I_b$ and $AI_b$, respectively. Transistors $M_{p\{1,2a,3\}}$ and $M_{nmp\{1,2,3\}}$ form a cascoded current mirror to provide an accurate current ratio. A. $M_{p3,n3}$ are operated in deep linear region to reduce noise, and they function as degeneration resistances that are more compact than physical resistors in a CMOS process. A 1 pF $C_L$ is used to improve circuit stability by creating a dominate pole at the gate of transistor $M_f$. Noise analysis and transistor size optimization are discussed in Section V.

### B. Shared-Segment OTA

A shared-segment OTA topology has been demonstrated to have desirable stability, bandwidth, and isolation between recording channels [35]. Because these performance advantages match our system requirements, this topology was used as a starting point to build the shared-segment OTA in Fig. 7, which serves as OTA1 in Fig. 6. Analysis of a structure that is similar to the OTA1 and $M_f$ in Fig. 6 shows that the high open loop gain of OTA1 can largely suppress the noise contribution of transistor $M_f$ [23]. Compared to the topology in [35], $M_{p3,n3}$ and $M_{n3}$ in Fig. 7 were added to improve output impedance, which can help achieve large gain. Assuming the transconductance and output impedance of $M_{p3,n3}$ are equal to $g_{m,N3}$ and $r_{o,N3}$, respectively, the output gain is improved by $g_{m,N3} \times r_{o,N3}$. In the shared segment, the negative feedback loop formed by $M_{pb1}$, $M_{p1}$ and $M_{n3}$ regulates the common node voltage ($V_c$) for good isolation between pixel segments [35].

The OTA bias circuit is shown in Fig. 7(b), where resistor $R_b$ and a current mirror were used to generate desired bias voltages. The complete transistor-level diagram of one channel of the pixel amplifier is shown in Fig. 7(c). All the bias voltage are generated on chip. The OTA adds several mV offset to WE voltage. However, this error does not affect sensor performance because it is tolerated by most electrochemical experiments [37]. The power-supply-rejection ratio (PSRR) of the OTA is very high, especially at low frequency. Although $V_{dd}$ ripple changes $V_{bn1}$, the impacts on $I_b$ and $AI_b$ is turned into a common-mode signal by the current mirror $M_{p2}$ and $M'_{p2}$, and the resulting current is cancelled at node “$V_o$” at low frequency. Simulation shows that the PSRR is 161 dB in a 10 kHz bandwidth. The full OTA noise performance and design optimizations are discussed in Section V.

### C. Multiplexer

All of the multiplexers in Fig. 4 need to have low on-resistance for small voltage drop to maximize input current range. The
multiplexers also need to provide DC bias to the outputs of inactive pixel/gain amplifiers to reduce settling time when any pixel/gain amplifier becomes active. Fig. 8(a) shows the multiplexer topology that was implemented for two example recording channels with the multiplexer switch positions set to activate pixel amplifier #1. Single-pole double-throw switches were used to set the inactive pixel amplifier’s output at a DC bias that is equal to the middle of the power supply range. As a result, the turn-off resistance is very large and crosstalk noise is very small [38]. As shown in Fig. 8(b), transmission gates with large W/L transistors were used as switches to reduce the turn-on resistance.

D. Self-Test Current Pulse Generator

To study the current through ion channel proteins, the biosensors would ideally be integrated directly on the surface of the CMOS readout chip to minimize the parasitic and connection capacitance and achieve good noise performance [25]. To generate simulated ion channel currents for circuit performance characterization and self-test functions, an on-chip test module was included. Ion channel currents are current pulses with picampere amplitude $I_{amp}$ and $\leq 1$ ms pulse width $I_{width}$. A current splitter array can generate femtoampere current but cannot generate bidirectional current pulses [39]. To resolve this problem, a compact solution shown in Fig. 9(a) was developed. A capacitor is connected to a pixel amplifier input, the voltage of which is $V_{WE}$. Pulses of voltage $V_{in}$ are applied on capacitor $C$ to generate current pulses $I_{in}$, as shown in Fig. 9(b). Assuming the slope of $V_{in}$ during rising/falling times is $SL$, then $I_{amp} = C \times SL$. An arbitrary signal generator was used to generate $V_{in}$.

E. Signal Processing Stage

The signal processing stage in Fig. 4 would digitize the gain amplifier output current and provide further digital signal processing and data storage. Because sensor current is greatly amplified before reaching to this stage, the ADC would not require ultra-low noise, greatly reducing its power and area in a CMOS implementation. To shorten the development time of the prototype current readout chip, a data acquisition card (NI USB-6259, National Instruments) was used to implement the digitization function. Since most ADCs take voltage inputs, a current-to-voltage (I/V) converter was included on the readout chip. A resistance feedback TIA was chosen for the I/V converter because it does not require any additional control signals. A feedback resistor array was implemented to allow testing of different current gains and ranges.

V. NOISE ANALYSIS

As the first stage, the pixel amplifier dominates circuit contributions to input referred noise. Noise analysis can assist in reducing noise by identifying major noise sources and optimizing component sizes. Transistors have two noise components: thermal noise and flicker noise. Transistors $M_{p3, n3, Ap3, An3}$ are operated in the linear region and act as resistors. To understand their impact on the noise of other transistors, we first ignore the flicker noise of $M_{p3, n3, Ap3, An3}$ and replace them with equivalent resistances $R_{p, n, Ap, An}$, as shown in Fig. 10(a), to simplify the noise analysis. Next, we ran simulations to find the dimensions of $M_{p3, n3, Ap3, An3}$ for desired noise performance with that small flicker noise. The ratio of $R_{Ap}$ and $R_{p}$ was set to $A$ to produce equal voltage drop on $R_{Ap}$ and $R_{p}$. As a result, the source terminal voltages of $M_{p2}$ and $M_{Ap2}$ are equal. The same resistor ratio was also applied to $R_{Ap}$ and $R_{p}$.

Analysis of a similar structure for OTA1 and $M_{f}$ has shown that the loop gain formed by OTA1 and $M_{f}$ greatly reduces $M_{f}$’s contribution to the input referred current noise [23]. Transistors $M_{p1, n1, Ap1, An1}$ have negligible noise contribution as cascode transistors [40]. Ignoring these negligible noise sources, the input referred current noise of the pixel amplifier is

$$i_{in, ref} = (2\pi f)^2 C_i n_{in} e_n^2 + i_{n, M_{p2}}^2 + i_{n, AR_{Ap}}^2 + i_{n, AR_{p}}^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{A^2} \left( i_{n, M_{p2}}^2 + i_{n, R_{Ap}}^2 + i_{n, AR_{Ap}}^2 + i_{n, R_{p}}^2 \right) \approx (2\pi f)^2 C_i n_{in} e_n^2$$

$$+ i_{n, M_{p2}}^2 + i_{n, AR_{Ap}}^2 + i_{n, R_{Ap}}^2 + i_{n, AR_{p}}^2$$

(2)

where $f$ is the frequency, $C_i n$ is the total input capacitance including parasitic capacitance and ion-channel sensor capacitance, $e_n$ is the input referred noise of OTA1, $I_{n,(x)}$ represents the current
noise of component x. Equation (2) shows that the noise currents of transistors $M_{n2\cdot p2}$ and resistors $R_{An,Ap}$ dominate the input referred noise. As a result, the Fig. 10(a) can be simplified into Fig. 10(b) for noise analysis by removing the non-significance current sources.

The OTA noise need to be minimized to reduce system noise. Large $M$ or $N$ (defined in Fig. 4) adds capacitance to node $Vg$ in Fig. 7. This capacitance becomes a limiting impedance at high frequency and thereby reduces OTA common-mode rejection ratio (CMRR), which is equivalent to adding a common mode noise to $e_n$.

An expression relating the input referred current noise and transistor sizes enables noise performance optimization. Large transistor sizes are used to reduce flicker noise. The voltage noise of $M_n$ can be expressed as [41]

$$V_{n,M_n}^2 = 8kT/3g_m + K_f \frac{1}{f_{Wn}L_n}$$

(3)

where $g_m$ is the transconductance of $M_{n2}$, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the operating temperature in Kelvin, and $K_f$ is a constant for flicker noise calculation. Considering the degeneration resistor effect, $M_{n2}$'s current noise is

$$I_{n,M_n}^2 = V_{n,M_n}^2 \left( \frac{g_m}{1 + g_mAR_n} \right)^2 = \frac{8kTg_m}{3(1 + g_mAR_n)^2}$$

(4)

For a fixed $I_{fb}$ value, $g_m$ is equal to $\sqrt{2\mu_nC_{ox}I_{fb}/L_n}$, where $\mu_n$ is the electron mobility, $C_{ox}$ is the gate oxide capacitance per unit area, $W_n$ and $L_n$ are the transistor $M_{n2}$ size. $M_{p2}$ noise contribution follows an equation similar to (4). Therefore, to reduce input referred current noise contribution of $M_{p2}$ and $M_{n2}$, the transistor area were set at very large area to reduce flicker noise. Large transistor length of $M_{p2}$ and $M_{n2}$ also helps provide accurate current gain by reducing transistor mismatch effects.

The current noise of resistance $R$ is equal to $4kT/R$. To reduce the resistor’s current noise contribution, the resistance should be large. Equation (4) shows that large $R_n$ and $R_p$ also reduce the noise contributions of $M_{n2}$ and $M_{p2}$'s. The sizes of transistors implementing $R_n$ and $R_p$ need to be large to reduce flicker noise. Based on this noise analysis, the chosen pixel amplifier transistor sizes are summarized in Table III. Based on noise simulations, the equivalent resistance of transistor $M_{n3}$ in Fig. 6 (shown as $R$ in Fig. 10) was identified as 137 kΩ.

### RESULTS

To evaluate the performance of the new pixel amplifier and the group-cluster architecture, one group ($Q = 1$) with one cluster ($N = 1$) having four pixels ($M = 4$), which represents the full analog signal path for any given input channel, was implemented in On-Semiconductor 0.5 µm CMOS. The pixel amplifier OTA was also used in the gain amplifier to reduce development time. Fig. 11 shows the chip photo of the implemented circuit with four recording channels. The active area per channel is 0.06 mm², which could allow over 100 channels to be implemented in a 2.5 mm × 2.5 mm chip area by duplicating this group with $Q = 25$. An implementation with 400 channels could be realized, for example, by further expanding each group to have four clusters ($N = M = 4$), employing the shared amplifier design in both the pixel stage and the cluster gain stage.

The chip was powered by an ultra-low noise 3.3 V voltage regulator on a custom printed circuit board (PCB) built to characterize the chip. Key analog signal traces on the 4-layer PCB were surrounded by grounded traces to provide shielding of 60-Hz line noise and other environmental noise. A Faraday cage was used to provide additional noise suppression. A data acquisition card (NI USB-6259, National Instruments) was used for data digitization, and LabVIEW (National Instruments) was used to configure the data acquisition card and display, record, and store test results.

### Electrical Characterization

To characterize the pixel amplifier’s input current range, a Keithley 6430 ultra-low noise current generator was used to generate different DC input currents. The feedback resistance $R_f$ in the on-chip signal processing stage I/V converter can limit input currents, and to evaluate the $R_f$ effect on input current range, $R_f$ was set at 1 MΩ and 10 MΩ. The input current ranges for these two resistance values are shown in Fig. 12. When $R_f = 10$ MΩ, the input current range is −9 to 20 nA with output error less than 3%. When the input current is out of range, the output voltage reaches the supply rails of 0 V or 3.3 V, which limits the input range. When $R_f = 1$ MΩ, the input current range is −110 to 90 nA with output error less than 1%. Larger $R_f$ values produces higher resolution by providing larger gain but produces smaller input current range.
Fig. 12. DC response test result of pixel amplifier when (a) $R_f = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$ and (b) $R_f = 1 \text{ M}\Omega$.

Fig. 13. AC response test results of pixel amplifier: (a) gain response $R_{\text{po}}/R_{\text{spw}}$ results, and (b) crosstalk response $R_{\text{crosstalk}}/R_{\text{po}}$ results. Asterisk markers represent test data, and dashed line represents the fitting line.

To characterize the pixel amplifier’s AC response, a waveform generator (ETabor Electronics) was used to generate a sinusoid voltage signal that was applied across a resistor to generate an input sinusoid current with power of $S_{\text{spw}}$. The frequency was swept from 50 Hz to 19 kHz, and the corresponding channel’s output power $R_{\text{po}}$ was recorded by an Agilent 4395A spectrum analyzer. The circuit’s bandwidth response plot obtained from $R_{\text{po}}/S_{\text{spw}}/R_{2f}$ is shown in Fig. 13(a). The pixel amplifier has a low-frequency gain of 19.7 dB (9.7 ×) and a bandwidth of 11.5 kHz, created by the external LPF. Without the external LPF, the circuit’s bandwidth is 16 kHz. During the AC response test, another channel’s response power $R_{\text{crosstalk}}$ was recorded to measure crosstalk defined as $R_{\text{crosstalk}}/R_{\text{po}}$. The crosstalk test result and corresponding fitting curve are shown in Fig. 13(b), demonstrating the crosstalk is $-38.2\text{ dB}$ at 10 kHz.

To demonstrate the transient response of the two-stage circuit, the on-chip self-test current pulse generator produced a current pulse with amplitude $I_{\text{amp}} = 105\text{ pA}$ and pulse width $T_{\text{width}} = 1\text{ ms}$, as defined in Fig. 9. The circuit response output is shown in Fig. 14 where a low-pass filter (LPF) with cut-off frequency at 11.5 kHz was used to post-process the response data in Matlab. To characterize the noise response of the two-stage circuit, $R_f$ was set to 100 M$\Omega$, the input current was set at zero and the transient response was recorded. The same LPF in Matlab was used to post-process the data. Fig. 14 gives the peak-to-peak $V_{\text{pk}-\text{pk}}$ value at the plateau region around 14 ms. Based on definition in [42], the output voltage noise $V_{\text{o, rms}}$ is $V_{\text{pk}-\text{pk}}/8$. The input equivalent current noise is $V_{\text{o, rms}}/A_v$, where $A_v$ is the current gain. As a result, the measured RMS noise was 7.2 pA in 11.5 kHz bandwidth.

The circuit’s performance is summarized and compared to other work in Table IV. The reported circuit has superior performance in power and area, and all other performance factors meet the biosensor array requirements.

B. Electrochemical Experiments

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) is an electrochemical method, widely used in electrochemical biosensor development. This method applies a triangle stimulation voltage to the biosensor and records the response current to study the electrochemical response. Potassium ferricyanide (K$_4$[Fe(CN)$_6$]) is a commonly used electrolyte for electrochemical experiments. 100 $\mu$M K$_4$[Fe(CN)$_6$] with a 0.1 M KCl buffer solution was used to validate the circuit’s performance with an electrochemical interface. The CV test platform is shown in Fig. 15. For this redox-reaction based system, three-electrode setup is better...
than two-electrode setup due to better control of the chemical reaction process [18], [37].

A three-electrode electrochemical system was set up by using a 211 μm × 214 μm off-chip gold microelectrode as working electrode (WE), a Ag-AgCl electrode (CHI Instruments, Inc.) as reference electrode (RE), and a Pt electrode (CHI Instruments, Inc.) as counter electrode (CE). An off-chip, discrete control amplifier was used to drive the RE and CE. The voltage between RE and WE was swept from −0.5 V to 0 V at different scan rates, including 10, 20, 30, 40 mV/s. Due to the large signal magnitude, gain amplifier is off, and only pixel amplifier is used for recording. A zero-phase, low pass FIR filter was used to post-process the response data in Matlab. Fig. 16(a) shows that the resulting reduction and oxidation current peaks are at −0.186 V and −0.25 V, respectively. The 64 mV peak potential difference is very close to the theoretical value of 59 mV for a fully reversible, single-electron redox process [37]. Fig. 16(b) plots the oxidation peak current vs. the square root of scan rate and shows that the expected linear relationship was obtained with R-square error of 0.98. The oxidation peak current was defined as the current at −0.25 V after subtracting charging current calculated in the nonfaradaic response [37].

C. Biological Experiments

To demonstrate the circuit’s ability to interface with a biological sample, cell membrane impedance was measured using off-chip whole-cell patch clamp experiments. Cell membranes can be modeled as a resistor in parallel with a capacitor, and membrane resistance and capacitance can be readily measured using the whole-cell configuration of patch clamp electrophysiology [43]. Changes in passive membrane properties, such as membrane resistance and capacitance, can be interpreted as an indicator of important cellular events such as maturation, morphological changes, alterations in ion channel expression responsible for determining cellular excitability, and changes in cell health [44]–[46]. Given the close relationship with cellular physiology, measurement of passive membrane properties can be utilized in a variety of biomedical applications including toxin detection and drug screening [17].

The setup of whole-cell patch clamp experiments is shown in Fig. 17, where a two-electrode system is used because no chemical reaction necessitating a third electrode occur. Cells were placed in an external solution that mimics the extracellular milieu of the in vivo environment. A glass capillary was heat-pulled to a fine tip to seal onto the cell membrane. The pipette contains an internal solution that dialyzes with the intracellular compartment following breakage of the small patch of membrane between the electrode and cell. For this study, primary cortical neurons isolated from embryonic rats onto plastic coverslips (Thermanox) were cultured according to the supplier’s protocol (Life Technologies). The external solution was an oxygenated physiological saline solution containing (in mM) 126 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2 2H2O, 2 MgSO4 7H2O, 26 NaHCO3, and 10 Glucose. Borosilicate glass pipettes were fabricated with a pipette puller (Sutter Instruments) and filled with internal solution (in mM) of 135 K Gluconate, 7 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 2 MgCl2, 2 Na2ATP, and 0.3 Na2GTP. Once the pipette was properly affixed to the patch clamp micromanipulator (Narishige), primary cortical neurons were approached, sealed, and recorded using our fabricated CMOS current readout chip.

To measure the cell membrane impedance, 100 Hz voltage pulses VCE were applied at the external solution electrode following established methods [43], where pulse amplitudes were kept small enough to avoid damaging the cell and large enough to provide good signal-to-noise ratio. The pipette electrode voltage was set at VWE by the reported circuit. The response current pulses during key stages of the test procedure were recorded and are shown in Fig. 18. The currents are associated with the ion flow path between the pipette internal solution and external solution. The monitored resistance was calculated from VEC/ICE, where VEC and ICE are the peak-to-peak value of applied voltage pulse and recorded current pulse, respectively. In Fig. 18(a), the pipette is in the external solution and does not touch the cell. The ions can freely flow between the pipette internal solution and external solution under voltage stimulation. As a result, a large (nA-level) current pulse was observed. The monitored resistance is called “pipette resistance”. In Fig. 18(b), the pipette
Fig. 18. Equivalent circuit model during key experimental procedures: (a) pipette tip in bath: when the pipette tip is contacting the external solution and does not touch cell membrane; (b) cell-attached patch: when the pipette tip is touching the cell membrane and negative pressure is applied inside the pipette to pull cell membrane against pipette tip to form a seal between the pipette and membrane; (c) whole cell: when the cell patch inside the pipette tip is ruptured by larger negative pressure present inside the pipette to measure cell impedance.

TABLE V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment procedures</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 18(a)</td>
<td>14.4 MΩ (pipette resistance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-15 MΩ (empirical value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 18(b)</td>
<td>1.4 GΩ (gigaseal resistance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 1 GΩ [42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig. 18(c)</td>
<td>139.4 MΩ (membrane resistance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>~100-200 MΩ [45]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

calculated resistances for all the test procedures match very well to theoretical values, as listed in Table V. Our system repeated the biological interface measurements in Fig. 18(a) and (b) more than five times with similar results. These two results require high circuit noise performance and demonstrate the circuit’s capability to measure over 1 GΩ passive membrane resistance. Furthermore, these patch clamp electrophysiology results suggest that the reported circuit is suitable for use with sensors that identify changes in cell health, excitability, and membrane integrity in response to varying environmental conditions. The results in Fig. 18(c) are biologically relevant but require a well-trained operator working under the time constraints of the cell’s lifetime (~1 hour). Moreover, they do not push the circuit’s performance limits. Thus, the measurements in Fig. 18(c) were only performed once.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a new CMOS readout circuit for electrochemical biosensor arrays that simultaneously provides good noise performance and bandwidth while achieving very low power consumption and small circuit size. The new pixel-level current readout circuit and new group-cluster system architecture significantly reduce the circuit power and area without compromising other performance requirements. Noise analysis was presented for design optimization. Circuit characterization results show that circuit achieves 7.5 pA rms noise in 11.5 kHz bandwidth and over 90 nA bidirectional input current range while only consuming 21 μW power and 0.06 mm² area per channel. Electrochemical CV experiments and patch-clamp whole-cell experiments validate the circuit’s feasibility for many biosensor applications.
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