Nonlinear Systems and Control Lecture # 37

Observers

Linearization and Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)

Linear Observer via Linearization

$$\dot{x} = f(x,u), \quad y = h(x)$$

$$0=f(x_{ss},u_{ss}),\quad y_{ss}=h(x_{ss})$$

Linearize about the equilibrium point:

$$\dot{x}_\delta = Ax_\delta + Bu_\delta, \quad y_\delta = Cx_\delta$$

$$x_{\delta}=x-x_{ss},\quad u_{\delta}=u-u_{ss},\quad y_{\delta}=y-y_{ss}$$

What are A, B, C?

$$\dot{\hat{x}}_\delta = A\hat{x}_\delta + Bu_\delta + H(y_\delta - C\hat{x}_\delta), \quad \hat{x} = x_{ss} + \hat{x}_\delta$$
 $(A-HC)$ is Hurwitz

It will work locally for sufficiently small $\|x_\delta(0)\|$, $\|\hat{x}_\delta(0)\|$, and $\|u_\delta(t)\|$

Feedback Control:

$$\dot{\hat{x}}_\delta = A\hat{x}_\delta + Bu_\delta + H(y_\delta - C\hat{x}_\delta)$$

$$u_{\delta} = -K\hat{x}_{\delta}, \quad u = u_{ss} - K\hat{x}_{\delta}$$

Verify that the closed-loop system has an equilibrium point at

$$x=x_{ss}, \quad \tilde{x}=0$$

and linearization at the equilibrium point yields

$$\left[egin{array}{c} \dot{ ilde{x}} \ \dot{ ilde{x}} \end{array}
ight] = \left[egin{array}{ccc} (A-BK) & BK \ 0 & (A-HC) \end{array}
ight] \left[egin{array}{c} x_\delta \ ilde{x} \end{array}
ight]$$

Which theorem would justify this controller locally?

Nonlinear Observer via Linearization

$$egin{aligned} \dot{x} &= f(x,u), & y &= h(x) \ 0 &= f(x_{ss},u_{ss}), & y_{ss} &= h(x_{ss}) \ \dot{\hat{x}} &= f(\hat{x},u) + H[y-h(\hat{x})] \end{aligned}$$

Equilibrium Point: $x=x_{ss},\,u=u_{ss},\,\hat{x}=x_{ss}$

$$\tilde{x} = x - \hat{x}$$

$$\dot{ ilde{x}}=g(x, ilde{x},u),\quad g(x_{ss},0,u_{ss})=0$$

Verify that linearization at the equilibrium point yields

$$\dot{ ilde{x}} = (A - HC) ilde{x}$$

Investigate the design of H and the use in feedback control

Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)

$$\dot{x}=f(x,u)+w, \quad y=h(x,u)+v$$
 $\dot{\hat{x}}=f(\hat{x},u)+H(t)[y-h(\hat{x},u)]$ $ilde{x}=x-\hat{x}$

$$\dot{ ilde{x}}=f(x,u)+w-f(\hat{x},u)-H[h(x,u)+v-h(\hat{x},u)]$$

Substitute $x = \hat{x} + \tilde{x}$ and expand the RHS in a Taylor series about $\tilde{x} = 0$

$$\dot{ ilde{x}} = [A(t) - H(t)C(t)] ilde{x} + \eta(ilde{x},t) + \xi(t)$$

$$A(t) = rac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t)), \quad C(t) = rac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t))$$
 $\eta(0, t) = 0, \quad \xi(t) = w(t) - H(t)v(t)$

Assuming that x(t), u(t), w(t), v(t), and H(t) are bounded and f and h are twice continuously differentiable, show that

$$\|\eta(\tilde{x},t)\| \le k_1 \|\tilde{x}\|^2, \quad \|\xi(t)\| \le k_2$$

Hint:

$$egin{aligned} f(x,u) - f(\hat{x},u) - rac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x},u) ilde{x} \ &= \int_0^1 rac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\sigma ilde{x} + \hat{x},u) \; d\sigma ilde{x} - rac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x},u) ilde{x} \;\;\;\; ext{(Exercise 3.23)} \ &= \int_0^1 \left[rac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\sigma ilde{x} + \hat{x},u) - rac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x},u)
ight] \; d\sigma \; ilde{x} \end{aligned}$$

Kalman Filter Design: Let Q(t) and R(t) be symmetric positive definite matrices that satisfy

$$0 < q_1 I \le Q(t) \le q_2 I, \quad 0 < r_1 I \le R(t) \le r_2 I$$

Let P(t) be the solution of the Riccati equation

$$\dot{P} = AP + PA^T + Q - PC^TR^{-1}CP, \ \ P(t_0) = P_0 > 0$$

If (A(t),C(t)) is uniformly observable, then P(t) exists for all $t\geq t_0$ and satisfies

$$0 < p_1 I \le P(t) \le p_2 I \implies 0 < p_3 I \le P^{-1}(t) \le p_4 I$$

See a texbook on optimal control or optimal estimation

$$H(t) = P(t)C(t)^T R^{-1}(t)$$

• Compute A(t) and C(t)

$$A(t) = rac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t)), \quad C(t) = rac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t))$$

- Solve the Riccati equation
- Compute H(t)

$$H(t) = P(t)C(t)^T R^{-1}(t)$$

Remark: The Riccati equation and the observer equation have to be solved simultaneously in real time because A(t) and C(t) depend on $\hat{x}(t)$ and u(t)

Lemma: The origin of

$$\dot{ ilde{x}} = [A(t) - H(t)C(t)] ilde{x} + \eta(ilde{x},t)$$

is exponentially stable and the solutions of

$$\dot{ ilde{x}} = [A(t) - H(t)C(t)] ilde{x} + \eta(ilde{x},t) + \xi(t)$$

are uniformly ultimately bounded by an ultimate bound proportional to k_2

Proof:

$$V = ilde{x}^T P^{-1} ilde{x}$$
 $\dot{V} = ilde{x}^T P^{-1} \dot{ ilde{x}} + \dot{ ilde{x}}^T P^{-1} ilde{x} + ilde{x}^T rac{d}{dt} P^{-1} ilde{x}$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P^{-1} = -P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$$

$$\dot{V} = \tilde{x}^{T}P^{-1}(A - PC^{T}R^{-1}C)\tilde{x}
+ \tilde{x}^{T}(A^{T} - C^{T}R^{-1}CP)P^{-1}\tilde{x}
- \tilde{x}^{T}P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}\tilde{x} + 2\tilde{x}^{T}P^{-1}(\eta + \xi)$$

$$\dot{V} = \tilde{x}^{T}P^{-1}(AP + PA^{T} - PC^{T}R^{-1}CP - \dot{P})P^{-1}\tilde{x}
- \tilde{x}^{T}C^{T}R^{-1}C\tilde{x} + 2\tilde{x}^{T}P^{-1}(\eta + \xi)$$

$$= -\tilde{x}^{T}(P^{-1}QP^{-1} + C^{T}R^{-1}C)\tilde{x} + 2\tilde{x}^{T}P^{-1}(\eta + \xi)$$

$$\leq -c_{1}\|\tilde{x}\|^{2} + c_{2}\|\tilde{x}\|^{3} + c_{3}\|\tilde{x}\| \quad (c_{3} \propto k_{2})$$

Stochastic Interpretation: When w(t) and v(t) are

- zero-mean, white noise stochastic processes,
- ullet uncorrelated, i.e., $E\{w(t)v^T(au)\}=0,\ orall t, au,$ and
- $egin{aligned} oldsymbol{E}\{w(t)w^T(au)\} &= Q(t)\delta(t- au) \ E\{v(t)v^T(au)\} &= R(t)\delta(t- au) \end{aligned}$

then $\hat{x}(t)$ is an approximation of the minimum variance estimate that minimizes

$$E\left\{\left[y(t)-h(\hat{x}(t),u(t))
ight]^T[y(t)-h(\hat{x}(t),u(t))]
ight\}$$

and P(t) is an approximation of the covariance matrix

$$E\left\{[\hat{x}(t)-x(t)][\hat{x}(t)-x(t)]^T
ight\}$$

Feedback Control: What can you say about the closed-loop system when \hat{x} is used in feedback control?